Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/731,458	DA PALMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sathyanarayan Pannala	2164	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>			
(1) <u>Sathyanarayan Pannala</u> .	(3)		
(2) Steven M. Greenberg, Reg.No. 44,725.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 6 May 2009	Time: <u>11:00 am.</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: 1 and 13			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner called Applicant to explain the possible rejection of claims 1 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. 101. Applicant agreed and authorized for an examiner's amendment to expedite prosecution by amending claims 1 and 13.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
/Sathyanarayan Pannala/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2164 (A	.pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)	